



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,883		01/17/2001	Hiroyuki Shibata	23.1093	4981
21171	7590	05/23/2003			
STAAS &			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				KOVALICK, VINCENT E	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2673	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	\mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 \bigcirc

		(}				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/760,883	SHIBATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING BATE - CO.	Vincent E Kovalick	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2	<u>11/03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims A) Claim(a) 1.20 in/ore panding in the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	-				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	20 and 20 20 in/ore reinstad					
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-10,12-15,17-19,21-23,25-28 and 30-39 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3,7,11,16,20,24 and 29</u> is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Applic	ation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	rovisional application has been r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment dated March 11, 2003 in response to USPTO Office Action dated November 4, 2002. The amendments to claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13-16, 18-20, 22-23 and 26; the addition of new claims 27-39 and Applicant's remarks have been noted and entered in the record.

Applicant's arguments filed March 11, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Relative to the argument that Kuwajima et al. (USP 6,339,422) "does not teach a clock signal used to drive a display panel is continuously varied in a frequency of the clock signal"; Kuwajima et al. teaches a variable frequency control circuit sets and outputs a frequency of an operating clock signal to be provided to the display circuit, the output waveform to the display being controlled by a switching circuit (col. 4, lines 53-58). As the clock signal is varied between as least two frequencies this causes the peak noise output of the display panel to be reduced; further as the clock signal is varied between at least two different frequencies the corresponding waveform will be reflective of the specific one of the two different drive frequencies and in turn drive the display in accordance with the frequency varying clock signal.

Regarding applicant's argument that Tanaka et al. (USP 6,130,420) does not teach the clock signal being used to dive the display panel is performed during a quiescent period;

Tanaka et al. teaches a clock signal being used to drive the display panel, it being understood that one of the operating modes will be a quiescent period.

`

Application/Control Number: 09/760,883 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Drawing Corrections

2. The corrections to drawing Figures 1-3, submitted March 11, 2003, are approved by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-2, 6, 10, 14-15, 19, 23, 27, 32, 33-37 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuwajima et al. (USP 6,339,422).

 Relative to claims 1, 6 10, 14, 19, 23, 27, 32, 33-36 and 38-39, Kuwajima et al. teaches a display control circuit and display control method (col. 2, lines 54-67 and col. 3, lines 1-62 and

Fig. 2). Kuwajima et al. further **teaches** a display apparatus comprising a clock generating circuit, a drive waveform generating circuit for generating a drive waveform by using a clock from said clock generating circuit, and a display panel for displaying an image in accordance with said drive waveform, wherein: said clock generating circuit generates a clock whose frequency varies continuously, and said drive waveform generating circuit drives and display panel by outputting a drive waveform whose frequency varies in accordance with said frequency varying clock (col. 4, lines 53-59). Still further, Kuwajima et al. **teaches** said display apparatus comprising a clock generating circuit, a drive waveform generating circuit for generating drive waveform by using a clock form said clock generating circuit, and a display panel for displaying an image in accordance with said drive waveform, wherein: said drive waveform generating circuit drives said display panel by sequentially switching an output drive waveform between

Application/Control Number: 09/760,883

Art Unit: 2673

drive waveforms corresponding to at least two frequencies (col. 4, lines 53-59). It would have been obvious to a person or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that as the clock signal is varied between as least two frequencies this causes the peak noise output of the display panel to be reduced; further as the clock signal is varied between at least two different frequencies the corresponding waveform will be reflective of the specific one of the two different drive frequencies and in turn drive the display in accordance with the frequency varying clock signal; it would have been further obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the method step of driving of the display panel spreads out frequencies of noise emitted by the display panel.

The difference between the teaching of the instant invention and the teaching of Kuwajima et al. is that the teaching of the instant invention is related specifically to a display apparatus with reduced noise emission wherein the teaching of Kuwajima et al. is directed to display control circuitry including a variable frequency control circuit.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the limitation as set forth in claims 1, 6, 10, 14, 19, 23, 27, 34-36 and 38-39 are addressed in the teachings of Kuwajima et al.

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 28, Kuwajima et al. further **teaches** said display apparatus wherein said clock generating circuit generates the source clock of said display apparatus (col. 4, lines 55-57).

Relative to claim 37, Kuwajima et al. **teaches** a clock generating circuit to generate a clock signal based on frequency divider, a frequency multiplier or the like which would include a spread-type clock oscillator.

5. Claims 4, 8, 12, 17, 21, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuwajima et al. as applied to claims 1, 6, 10, 14, 19 and 23, respectively in

Application/Control Number: 09/760,883

Art Unit: 2673

item 4 hereinabove, and further in view of Admitted Prior Art (Applicant's Disclosure, page 7, lines 1-8 and Applicant's Fig. 1)

Regarding claims 4, 8, 12, 17, 21, 25 and 30, Kuwajima et al. **does not teach** said display apparatus being a plasma display apparatus.

Applicant's Disclosure **teaches** said display apparatus wherein said display apparatus is a plasma display apparatus (Applicant's Disclosure, page 7, lines 1-8).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the display driving circuitry as described herein would be applicable to a plasma display device.

6. Claims 5, 9, 13, 18, 22, 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuwajima et al. as applied to claims 1, 6, 10, 14, 19 and 23 respectively in item 4 hereinabove, and further in view of Tanaka et al (USP 6,130,420).

Regarding claims 5, 9, 13, 18, 22, 26 and 31, Kuwajima et al. **does not teach** said display apparatus wherein during a quiescent period, said clock generating circuit performs control of said clock used for driving said display panel.

Tanaka et al. **teaches** an image sensing apparatus and a method for driving said apparatus (col. 1, lines 63-67, col. 2; lines 1-67 and col. 3, lines 1-18). Tanaka et al. further **teaches** said display apparatus wherein during a quiescent period, said clock generating circuit performs control of said clock used for driving said display panel (col. 2, lines 31-34). It being understood that one of the operating modes will be a quiescent period.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at tie time of the invention to incorporate in the apparatus as taught by Kuwajima et al. the feature as taught by Tanaka et al. in order permit the clock generating circuit to exercise control of the clock used for driving the display panel only during a quiescent period so as to not interfere with other functions being performed relative to the display panel during the non-quiescent periods.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,883

Art Unit: 2673

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 7, 11, 16, 20, 24 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Relative to claims 3, 7, 11, 16, 20, 24 and 29, the prior art of record **does not teach** said display apparatus wherein said clock generating circuit generates a clock whose frequency varies continuously within a range of plus or minus 1 percent of a reference frequency.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	5,917,461	Sakami et al.
U. S. Patent No.	5,748,165	Kubota et al.
U. S. Patent No.	3,889,225	McKenzie et al.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2673

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Responses

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E Kovalick whose telephone number is 703 306-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306-0377.

May 21, 2003